

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/013,071	12/10/2001	Zhaoning Zhu	IN01174	1388
24265	7590 01/26/2004		EXAM	INER
SCHERING-PLOUGH CORPORATION PATENT DEPARTMENT (K-6-1, 1990)			MONDESI, ROBERT B	
	OPING HILL ROAD	1990)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
KENILWORTH, NJ 07033-0530			1653	

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/013,071	ZHU ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert B Mondesi	1653
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 J	lanuary 2004.	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-94 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-94 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposite and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the sheet of the shee	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language profile. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the second s	ats have been received. Its have been received in Applicate only documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not received the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (arst sentence of the specification of the covisional application has been received the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. and/or 121 since a specific
Attachment(s)	m	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-23, 28-54, 59-87, 92-94 drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising macrocyclic compounds, classified in class 514, subclass 009.
- II. Claims 24-25, 55-56, 88-89 drawn to method of treatment of diseases associated with Hepatitis C Virus, classified in class 424, subclass 9.322.
- III. Claims 26-27, 57-58, 90-91 drawn to the method of manufacturing a medicament, classified in class 424, subclass 9.322.

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case: product can be used in the alternative processes of apoptosis of cells.

Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process can be used to make synthetic

Art Unit: 1653

peptides that are structurally different and have different functions than the peptides of the invention.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects. The method of Group II is used to treat diseases associated with HCV where as the method of Group III is used to manufacture medicament.

Furthermore this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct compounds of the claimed invention: In **claims 1-23, 28-54, 59-87, 92-94** the presence of compound general structure formulas (Formula I, II and III) and the ability to substitute a variety of independently selected moieties in positions X, Y, R1, R2, P1a, P1b, Ar1, Ar2 P3 and P4 has given rise to a multitude of macrocyclic compounds. Each one of these compounds is patentably distinct absent factual evidence to the contrary. Also the presence of general compound formulas (Formula I, II and III) and the ability to substitute different atoms or molecules in positions A, E, G, J, L, M and Q has given rise to a multitude of macrocyclic compounds. Each one of these compounds is also patentably distinct absent factual evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed moiety in positions X, Y, R1, R2, P1a, P1b, Ar1, Ar2 P3 and P4 that is searchable for prosecution

Art Unit: 1653

on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, the moieties selected in positions X, Y, R1, R2, P1a, P1b, Ar1, Ar2 P3 and P4 in the general formulas (Formula I, II and III) are set forth in a series of alternatives. Applicant is also required to elect a single disclosed molecule or atom in positions A, E, G, J, L, M and Q. Currently, the atoms or molecules selected in positions A, E, G, J, L, M, and Q the general formula (Formula I, II and III) are set forth in a series of alternatives.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include a single moiety in positions X, Y, R1, R2, P1a, P1b, Ar1, Ar2 P3 and P4 and a single atom or molecule in positions A, E, G, J, L, M and Q that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the peptides are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the peptides to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and since they have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification.

Art Unit: 1653

and/or divergent subject matter, and/or are separately and independently searched, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 C.F.R. 1.143).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See

Art Unit: 1653

"Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.**

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert B Mondesi whose telephone number is 571-272-0956. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-5pm, Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low can be reached on 571-272-0951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 1653

Page 7

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0198.

Robert B. Mondesi Patent Examiner Group 1653

ROBERT A. WAX
PRIMARY EXAMINER